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INTRODUCTION

Gingival recession is a common problem in periodontal practice today. There are many causes that lead to gingival recession and there are also numerous different surgical techniques to manage this problem including laterally sliding flaps, free gingival grafts, coronally positioned flaps, connective tissue grafts, guided tissue regeneration, and tissue engineering. When esthetic is the prime concern it is essential to have a good soft tissue to tooth relationship to ensure an ideal emergence profile. The main objective of the periodontal plastic surgery is to correct and re-establish such a relationship. The procedures presented in the literature in the past half century has proved to be effective in pursuing the goal of covering exposed root surfaces. These surgical solutions, although possessing their own merits, posed certain problems; chiefly, their insufficiency to treat additional problems concurrently. A single-step solution to mucogingival problems by a surgical interference, namely the “bridge flap procedure,” is the continuation of the same endeavor. The following case presents a cost-effective single-step technique to correct multiple mucogingival problems at the same time with less morbidity to donor tissues and also evaluates the corrections gained by this bridge flap procedure.
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CASE REPORT

A 35 year old male patient reported to the Department of Periodontology with the chief complaint of hypersensitivity in relation to lower anteriors. On examination, the patient had Miller’s Class III recession in relation to 31, 32, 41, 42, region along with a shallow vestibule [Fig.1]. Patient was in good health and gave no history of any systemic ailment. Various clinical parameters were recorded with a UNC-15 periodontal probe:

- Probing pocket depth (PPD)
- Gingival recession (GR), by measuring the distance between the cement-enamel junction (CEJ) and the free gingival margin
- Width of the attached gingiva, by determining the distance from the base of the pocket to the mucogingival junction.

How to cite this article:
Probing pocket depth of 2mm is present on teeth (31), (41) and 1mm on teeth (32), (42). Gingival recession was 3.5mm with (31), 2mm with (32), 8mm with (41) and 5mm with (42). The width of attached gingiva was 5mm with (31), (32) and 4mm with (41), (42).

The parameters were recorded before and after surgery. The surgical procedure was described to the patient, and informed consent was obtained. Preparation of the case involved full mouth scaling-root planning and meticulous oral hygiene practice.

**SURGICAL TECHNIQUE**

The surgical procedure included the bridge flap technique as first introduced by Marggraf and later on modified by Romanos. The technique comprised of an arch-shaped incision in the vestibule at approximately 2 × GR + 2 mm from the gingival margin. This is necessary in order to produce a sufficiently wide bridging flap, ensuring a sufficient blood supply. An incision into the periosteum was placed at its base, and the bone was exposed so that scar formation can take place. By giving a sulcular incision, a split-thickness flap was elevated in the apicocoronal direction joining it with the first incision such that the whole bridge flap was elevated and repositioned coronally covering the denuded root surfaces [Fig. 2&3]. This repositioned flap was then pressed for 3 minutes and independent sling sutures were placed [Fig.4]. Periodontal pack was applied on the operative area covering the exposed bone [Fig.5]. Antibiotics and analgesics were prescribed, and necessary instructions given. Suture was removed on the 10th day of the surgery and patient was asked to maintain meticulous oral hygiene. Case was followed up to 6 months [Fig.6].

**RESULTS**

Examination of the surgical site 6 months after the surgery showed significant root coverage and an increase in the depth of vestibule and gain in the width of attached gingiva. Gingival recession reduced from 3.5mm to 2mm on teeth (31), 2mm to 1mm on (32), 8mm to 3mm on (41) and from 5mm to 3mm on (42). A gain in the width of
attached gingiva from 5mm to 7mm on teeth (31), (32) and from 4mm to 6mm on teeth (41) and (42) was attained.

**DISCUSSION**

Soft tissue recession, defined as exposure of the root surface caused by an apical shift of the gingival margin, results in root hypersensitivity, unaesthetic appearance as well as root caries. The correction of gingival recessions is an important issue in the field of periodontal plastic surgery. Because esthetic concerns are the main indications for root coverage, selection of the most appropriate soft tissue grafting procedure must be done cautiously. In the mid-80s and late 90s, the periodontal literature presented various techniques such as pedicle flaps, free gingival graft, subepithelial connective tissue graft, acellular dermal matrix graft, and guided tissue regeneration to cover denuded root surface.

While reviewing the literature, there were a number of articles addressing mucogingival problems and their surgical solutions. However, an unanswered argument still exists in the literature regarding the adequate width of attached gingiva for periodontal health maintenance, and the existing opinion suggests that the regions with <2 mm attached gingiva and thin gingival biotypes are at increased risk of gingival recession even if it is possible to maintain the gingival health in the areas with absent or insufficient attached gingiva. And so, mucogingival therapy should be advocated for gingival augmentation and to create adequate vestibular depth in areas with insufficient attached gingiva.

The bridge flap technique consists of two surgical techniques concurrently. In this procedure, the flap covering the denuded root surface is supplied by plasmatic circulation from capillaries in the adjacent portion of the gingiva, permitting it to survive. The flap creates a functional, healthy and aesthetic result that seems to be resistant to additional gingival recession. The bridge flap technique is indicated when a single surgical procedure is desired to predictably cover the denuded root surfaces, in circumstances where inadequate keratinized gingiva is offered, and also to increase the vestibular depth along with a gain in the width of attached gingiva in one step.

Previous studies done by E. Marggraf reported that recession is reduced by the simultaneous extension of the vestibule. No alveolar bone is left exposed, and, therefore rapid healing is accomplished. The main advantage of this procedure is that it does not require a second surgical site. On the other hand, the functional widening of the attached gingiva is also achieved.

An evaluation of adequate width of the attached gingiva in patients with multiple gingival recessions is an important factor for deciding on any procedure for root coverage. To accomplish optimal esthetic outcome, the gingival form, tooth anatomy, and the correlation of the underlying bone to the cementoenamel junction must be completely understood. Accurate determination of the position of cemento-enamel junction and mucogingival junction prior to periodontal surgery and precise placement of incisions are a must in order to accomplish this goal. The amount of attached gingiva needs to be measured as a part of the assessment. It has been made known that to maintain periodontal healthness there should be 2-3 mm of attached gingiva. In the present case, vestibular deepening was included as treatment of choice to increase the inadequate vestibular depth in order to maintain the periodontal health and root coverage with coronally advanced flap was done to improve postoperative esthetics of the patient. The patient was examined every week to ensure good oral hygiene in the operated area and supportive periodontal maintenance at 6 months was prescribed to reexamine this area. Postoperative esthetic outcome was satisfactory for the patient. The secondary outcome variables were recession reduction, clinical attachment gain, keratinized tissue gain, reduced root sensitivity, esthetic fulfillment and reduced postoperative pain. Clinical results 6 months postoperatively were favorable with no recurrence. Conversely, when a significant loss of the periodontal attachment apparatus and osseous structure ensues, the long-term prognosis becomes poor. Treatment approaches used in the present case suggests that combination of two surgical modalities can be successful for the management of multiple teeth recessions.

**CONCLUSION**

Successful treatment of multiple recessions is based on the use of predictable periodontal plastic surgical techniques. Appropriate case selection and careful preoperative diagnosis are the prerequisites for improving surgical success. Multiple gingival recession is linked to different etiologies, which should be carefully diagnosed prior to the treatment. Coronally advanced flap, vestibular deepening, and the bridge flap method may be used for successful management of multiple teeth recessions. Double lateral bridging flap may provide a satisfactory solution in the treatment of multiple gingival recession. But further follow up for a long period is necessary to evaluate the success rate of this technique. However, this technique is an alternative when patients are reluctant to provide an additional donor site.
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